arXiv:1410.7975v1 [math.CA] 5 Oct 2014

ON THE MAXIMAL OPERATORS OF RIESZ LOGARITHMIC MEANS OF VILENKIN-FOURIER SERIES

GEORGE TEPHNADZE

Abstract. The main aim of this paper is to investigate (H_p, L_p) and $(H_p, L_{p,\infty})$ type inequalities for maximal operators of Riesz logarithmic means of one-dimensional Vilenkin-Fourier series.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C10.

Key words and phrases: Vilenkin system, Riesz logarithmic means, martingale Hardy space.

1. Introduction

Weak (1,1)-type inequality for the maximal operator of Fejér means σ^* for Walsh-Fourier series was proved by Schipp [13] and for Vilenkin system by Pál, Simon [12]. Fujji [4] and Simon [15] verified that the σ^* is bounded from H_1 to L_1. Weisz [22] generalized this result and proved the boundedness of σ^* from the martingale Hardy space H_p to the space L_p, for $p > 1/2$. Simon [14] gave a counterexample, which shows that boundedness does not hold for $0 < p < 1/2$. The counterexample for $p = 1/2$ due to Goginava [3], (see also [8] and [16]).

Weisz [23] proved that following is true:

Theorem W. The maximal operator of Fejér means σ^* is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2,\infty}$.

In [17] and [18] it were proved that the maximal operator $\widetilde{\sigma}_p^*$, defined by

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_p^* := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{|\sigma_n|}{(n+1)^{1/p-2}\log^{2[1/2+p]}(n+1)},$$

where $0 < p \leq 1/2$ and $[1/2+p]$ denotes integer part of $1/2+p$, is bounded from the Hardy space H_p to the space L_p.

Moreover, for any nondecreasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{N}_+ \to [1, \infty)$ satisfying the condition

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \frac{(n+1)^{1/p-2}\log^{2[1/2+p]}(n+1)}{\varphi(n)} = +\infty, \tag{1}$$

there exists a martingale $f \in H_p$, such that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left\| \frac{\sigma_n f}{\varphi(n)} \right\|_p = \infty.$$

For Walsh-Paley system analogical theorem is proved in [9] and for Walsh-Kaczmarz system in [10] and [20].

The research was supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation grant no.13/06 (Geometry of function spaces, interpolation and embedding theorems.

Riesz‘ s logarithmic means with respect to the Walsh system was studied by Simon [14], Goginava [11], Gát, Nagy [7] and for Vilenkin systems by Gát [6], Blahota, Gát [2], Tephnadze [19]. In this paper it was proved that maximal operator of Riesz logarithmic means of Vilenkin-Fourier series is bounded from the martingale Hardy space H_p to the space L_p when $p > 1/2$ and is not bounded from the martingale Hardy space H_p to the space L_p when $0 < p \leq 1/2$.

The main aim of this paper is to investigate (H_p, L_p) and $(H_p, L_{p,\infty})$ type inequalities for weighted maximal operators of Riesz logarithmic means of one-dimensional Vilenkin-Fourier series.

2. Definitions and Notations

Let $\mathbf{P}_+$ denote the set of the positive integers , $\mathbf{P} := \mathbf{P}_+ \cup \{0\}$.

Let $m := (m_0, m_1, ...)$ denote a sequence of the positive integers not less than 2.

Denote by

$$Z_{m_k} := \{0, 1, ...m_k - 1\}$$

the additive group of integers modulo m_k.

Define the group G_m as the complete direct product of the group Z_{m_j} with the product of the discrete topologies of Z_{m_j} 's.

The direct product μ of the measures

$$\mu_k(\{j\}) := 1/m_k \qquad (j \in Z_{m_k})$$

is a Haar measure on G_m with $\mu(G_m) = 1$.

If $\sup_n m_n < \infty$, then we call G_m a bounded Vilenkin group. If the generating sequence m is not bounded then G_m is said to be an unbounded Vilenkin group. **In this paper we discuss bounded Vilenkin groups only.**

The elements of G_m are represented by sequences

$$x := (x_0, x_1, ..., x_j, ...) \qquad (\ x_k \in Z_{m_k}).$$

It is easy to give a base for the neighborhood of G_m

$$I_0(x) := G_m,$$

$$I_n(x) := \{y \in G_m \mid y_0 = x_0, ...y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}\}, \quad (x \in G_m,\ n \in \mathbf{P}).$$

Denote $I_n := I_n(0)$ for $n \in \mathbf{P}$ and $\overline{I_n} := G_m \setminus I_n$.

Let

$$e_n := (0, 0, ..., x_n = 1, 0, ...) \in G_m \qquad (n \in \mathbf{P}).$$

It is evident

$$\overline{I_M} = \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{M-2m_k-1} \bigcup_{x_k=1} \bigcup_{l=k+1}^{M-1} \bigcup_{x_l=1}^{m_l-1} I_{l+1}(x_k e_k + x_l e_l) \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{M-1m_k-1} \bigcup_{x_k=1} I_M(x_k e_k) \right). \tag{2}$$

If we define the so-called generalized number system based on m in the following way :

$$M_0 := 1, \qquad M_{k+1} := m_k M_k \qquad (k \in \mathbf{P}).$$

then every $n \in \mathbf{P}$ can be uniquely expressed as $n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_j M_j$ where $n_j \in Z_{m_j}$ $(j \in \mathbf{P})$ and only a finite number of n_j's differ from zero. Let $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbf{P};\, n_j \neq 0\}$.

Denote by $L_1(G_m)$ the usual (one dimensional) Lebesgue space.

Next, we introduce on G_m an orthonormal system which is called the Vilenkin system.

At first, define the complex valued function $r_k(x) : G_m \to \mathbb{C}$, the generalized Rademacher functions as

$$r_k(x) := \exp(2\pi i x_k / m_k) \qquad \left(i^2 = -1,\ x \in G_m,\ k \in \mathbf{P}\right).$$

Now, define the Vilenkin system $\psi := (\psi_n : n \in \mathbf{P})$ on G_m as:

$$\psi_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k^{n_k}(x) \qquad (n \in \mathbf{P}).$$

Specifically, we call this system the Walsh-Paley one if $m \equiv 2$.

The Vilenkin system is orthonormal and complete in $L_2(G_m)$ [1].

Now, we introduce analogues of the usual definitions in Fourier-analysis.

If $f \in L_1(G_m)$ we can establish the Fourier coefficients, the partial sums of the Fourier series, the Fejér means, the Dirichlet and Fejér kernels with respect to the Vilenkin system ψ in the usual manner:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{f}(k) &:= \int_{G_m} f \overline{\psi}_k d\mu, && (\, k \in \mathbf{P}\,),\\
S_n f &:= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \widehat{f}(k)\, \psi_k\,, && (\, n \in \mathbf{P}_+,\ S_0 f := 0\,),\\
\sigma_n f &:= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_k f\,, && (\, n \in \mathbf{P}_+\,),\\
D_n &:= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_k\,, && (\, n \in \mathbf{P}_+\,),\\
K_n &:= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_k, && (\, n \in \mathbf{P}_+\,).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$D_{M_n}(x) = \begin{cases} M_n, & \text{if } x \in I_n,\\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin I_n. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

It is well-known that

$$\sup_n \int_{G_m} |K_n|\, d\mu \leq c < \infty. \tag{4}$$

The norm (or quasi-norm) of the space $L_p(G_m)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int_{G_m} |f|^p \, d\mu \right)^{1/p} \qquad (0 < p < \infty) .$$

The space $L_{p,\infty}(G)$ consists of all measurable functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\infty}(G)} := \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda^p \mu (f > \lambda) < +\infty.$$

The σ-algebra generated by the intervals $\{I_n(x) : x \in G_m\}$ will be denoted by F_n $(n \in \mathbf{P})$. Denote by $f = \left(f^{(n)}, n \in \mathbf{P}\right)$ a martingale with respect to F_n $(n \in \mathbf{P})$. (for details see e.g. [21]). The maximal function of a martingale f is defend by

$$f^* = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{P}} \left| f^{(n)} \right| ,$$

respectively.

In case $f \in L_1$, the maximal functions are also given by

$$f^*(x) = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{P}} \frac{1}{|I_n(x)|} \left| \int_{I_n(x)} f(u) \mu(u) \right| .$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ the Hardy martingale spaces $H_p(G_m)$ consist of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^*\|_p < \infty.$$

If $f \in L_1$, then it is easy to show that the sequence $(S_{M_n}(f) : n \in \mathbf{P})$ is a martingale. If $f = \left(f^{(n)}, n \in \mathbf{P}\right)$ is martingale then the Vilenkin-Fourier coefficients must be defined in a slightly different manner:

$$\widehat{f}(i) := \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{G_m} f^{(k)}(x) \overline{\psi}_i(x) \, d\mu(x) .$$

The Vilenkin-Fourier coefficients of $f \in L_1(G_m)$ are the same as those of the martingale $(S_{M_n}(f) : n \in \mathbf{P})$ obtained from f .

In the literature, there is the notion of Riesz‘ s logarithmic means of the Fourier series. The n-th Riesz‘s logarithmic means of the Fourier series of an integrable function f is defined by

$$R_n f := \frac{1}{l_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{S_k f}{k},$$

where $l_n := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}$.

The kernels of Riesz‘s logarithmic means is established by

$$L_n := \frac{1}{l_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{D_k(x)}{k}.$$

For the martingale f we consider the following maximal operators

$$\sigma^{*}f \ := \sup_{n\in\mathbf{P}}\left|\sigma_{n}f\right|, \qquad R^{*}f := \sup_{n\in\mathbf{P}}\left|R_{n}f\right|,$$
$$\widetilde{R}^{*}f \ := \sup_{n\in\mathbf{P}}\frac{\left|R_{n}f\right|}{\log\left(n+1\right)}, \quad \widetilde{R}_{p}^{*}f := \sup_{n\in\mathbf{P}}\frac{\log\left(n+1\right)\left|R_{n}f\right|}{\left(n+1\right)^{1/p-2}}.$$

A bounded measurable function a is p-atom, if there exist a dyadic interval I, such that

$$\int_{I}ad\mu=0, \quad \left\|a\right\|_{\infty}\leq\mu\left(I\right)^{-1/p}, \quad \operatorname{supp}\left(a\right)\subset I.$$

3. Formulation of Main Results

Theorem 1. *The maximal operator of Riesz logarithmic means R^{*} is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2,\infty}$.*

Earlier, It was proved that the maximal operator R^{*} is not bounded form the the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$. So, it is interesting to discuss that what type weight we have to apply to get back the boundedness of the maximal operator. We found the answer in the next theorem.

Theorem 2. *a) The maximal operator $\widetilde{R}^{*}$ is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$.*

b) Let $\varphi:\mathbf{P}_{+}\rightarrow[1,\,\infty)$ be a nondecreasing function satisfying the condition

$$\overline{\lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}}\frac{\log\left(n+1\right)}{\varphi\left(n\right)}=+\infty. \tag{5}$$

Then the maximal operator

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbf{P}}\frac{\left|R_{n}f\right|}{\varphi\left(n\right)}$$

is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$.

Theorem 3. *a) Let $0<p<1/2$. Then the maximal operator $\widetilde{R}_{p}^{*}$ is bounded from the Hardy space H_{p} to the space L_{p}.*

b) Let $0<p<1/2$ and $\varphi:\mathbf{P}_{+}\rightarrow[1,\,\infty)$ be a nondecreasing function satisfying the condition

$$\frac{\left(n+1\right)^{1/p-2}}{\log\left(n+1\right)\varphi\left(n\right)}=\infty. \tag{6}$$

Then the maximal operator

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbf{P}}\frac{\left|R_{n}f\right|}{\varphi\left(n\right)}$$

is not bounded from the Hardy space H_{p} to the space $L_{p,\infty}$.

4. AUXILIARY PROPOSITIONS

Lemma 1. [24] *(Weisz) A martingale $f=\left(f^{(n)},n\in\mathbf{P}\right)$ is in $H_p\,(0<p\leq 1)$ if and only if there exist a sequence $(a_k,k\in\mathbf{P})$ of p-atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k,k\in\mathbf{P})$ of a real numbers such that for every $n\in P$*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mu_k S_{M_n}a_k=f^{(n)}, \tag{7}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\mu_k|^p<\infty.$$

Moreover, $\|f\|_{H_p}\backsim\inf\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\mu_k|^p\right)^{1/p}$, where the infimum is taken over all decomposition of f of the form (7).

Lemma 2. [5] *(Gát) Let $A>t$, $t,A\in\mathbf{P}$, $x\in I_t\setminus I_{t+1}$. Then*

$$K_{2^A}(x)=\begin{cases}2^{t-1}, & \text{if}\quad x\in I_A\left(e_t\right),\\ \left(2^A+1\right)/2, & \text{if}\quad x\in I_A,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Analogously of Lemma 4 in [18] if we apply Lemma 2 we can prove that following is true:

Lemma 3. *Let $x\in I_N\left(x_ke_k+x_le_l\right)$, $1\leq x_k\leq m_k-1$, $1\leq x_l\leq m_l-1$, $k=0,...,N-2$, $l=k+1,...,N-1$. Then*

$$\int_{I_N}\left|K_n\left(x-t\right)\right|d\mu\left(t\right)\leq\frac{cM_lM_k}{nM_N},\qquad\text{when } n\geq M_N.$$

Let $x\in I_N\left(x_ke_k\right)$, $1\leq x_k\leq m_k-1$, $k=0,...,N-1$. Then

$$\int_{I_N}\left|K_n\left(x-t\right)\right|d\mu\left(t\right)\leq\frac{cM_k}{M_N},\qquad\text{when } n\geq M_N.$$

Lemma 4. *Let $x\in I_N\left(x_ke_k+x_le_l\right)$, $1\leq x_k\leq m_k-1$, $1\leq x_l\leq m_l-1$, $k=0,...,N-2$, $l=k+1,...,N-1$. Then*

$$\int_{I_N}\sum_{j=M_N+1}^{n}\frac{\left|K_j\left(x-t\right)\right|}{j+1}d\mu\left(t\right)\leq\frac{cM_kM_l}{M_N^2}.$$

Let $x\in I_N\left(x_ke_k\right)$, $1\leq x_k\leq m_k-1$, $k=0,...,N-1$. Then

$$\int_{I_N}\sum_{j=M_N+1}^{n}\frac{\left|K_j\left(x-t\right)\right|}{j+1}d\mu\left(t\right)\leq\frac{cM_k}{M_N}l_n.$$

Proof. Let $x\in I_N\left(x_ke_k+x_le_l\right)$, $1\leq x_k\leq m_k-1$, $1\leq x_l\leq m_l-1$, $k=0,...,N-2$, $l=k+1,...,N-1$. Using Lemma 3 we have

$$\int_{I_N}\sum_{j=M_N+1}^{n}\frac{|K_j(x-t)|}{j+1}d\mu(t)\leq\sum_{j=M_N+1}^{n}\frac{cM_kM_l}{(j+1)jM_N} \tag{8}$$
$$\leq\frac{cM_kM_l}{M_N}\sum_{j=M_N+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{j}-\frac{1}{j+1}\right)\leq\frac{cM_kM_l}{M_N^2}.$$

Let $x\in I_N(x_ke_k)$, $1\leq x_k\leq m_k-1,\ \ k=0,...,N-1$. Then

$$\int_{I_N}\sum_{j=M_N+1}^{n}\frac{|K_j(x-t)|}{j+1}d\mu(t)\leq\sum_{j=M_N+1}^{n}\frac{cM_k}{(j+1)M_N}\leq\frac{cM_k}{M_N}l_n. \tag{9}$$

Combining (8) and (9) we complete the proof of Lemma 4.

5. Proof of the Theorems

Proof of theorem 1. a) Using Abel transformation we obtain

$$R_nf=\frac{1}{l_n}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{\sigma_jf}{j+1}+\frac{\sigma_nf}{l_n}. \tag{10}$$

Consequently,

$$R^*f\leq c\sigma^*f. \tag{11}$$

Using Theorem W and (11) we conclude that R^* is bounded from the martingale Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2,\infty}$.

Proof of theorem 2. From (10) for the kernels of Riesz's logarithmic means we have

$$L_n=\frac{1}{l_n}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{K_j}{j+1}+\frac{K_n}{l_n}. \tag{12}$$

By Lemma 1, the proof of theorem 2 will be complete, if we show that

$$\int_{\overline{I}}\left|\widetilde{R}^*a\right|^{1/2}d\mu\leq c<\infty,$$

for every $1/2$-atom a, where I denotes the support of the atom.

Let a be an arbitrary $1/2$-atom with support I and $\mu(I)=M_N^{-1}$. We may assume that $I=I_N$. It is easy to see that $R_n(a)=\sigma_n(a)=0$, when $n\leq M_N$. Therefore we suppose that $n>M_N$.

Since $\|a\|_{\infty} \leq cM_N^2$ if we apply (12) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}(13)\qquad \frac{|R_n a(x)|}{\log(n+1)} &= \frac{1}{\log(n+1)}\int_{I_N}|a(t)|\,|L_n(x-t)|\,d\mu(t)\\ &\leq \frac{\|a\|_{\infty}}{\log(n+1)}\int_{I_N}|L_n(x-t)|\,d\mu(t)\\ &\leq \frac{cM_N^2}{\log(n+1)\,l_n}\int_{I_N}\sum_{j=M_N+1}^{n-1}\frac{|K_j(x-t)|}{j+1}d\mu(t)\\ &\quad+\frac{cM_N^2}{\log(n+1)\,l_n}\int_{I_N}|K_n(x-t)|\,d\mu(t).\end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in I_N(x_k e_k + x_l e_l)$, $1 \leq x_k \leq m_k - 1$, $1 \leq x_l \leq m_l - 1$, $k = 0, ..., N-2$, $l = k+1, ..., N-1$. From Lemmas 3 and 4 we have

$$(14)\qquad \frac{|R_n(a)|}{\log(n+1)} \leq \frac{cM_l M_k}{N^2}.$$

Let $x \in I_N(x_k e_k)$, $1 \leq x_k \leq m_k - 1$, $k = 0, ..., N-1$. Applying Lemmas 3 and 4 we have

$$(15)\qquad \frac{|R_n a(x)|}{\log(n+1)} \leq \frac{M_N M_k}{N} \leq cM_N M_k.$$

Combining (2), (14) and (15) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\overline{I_N}}\left|\widetilde{R}^{*}a(x)\right|^{1/2}d\mu(x)\\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-2}\sum_{x_k=1}^{m_k-1}\sum_{l=k+1}^{N-1}\sum_{x_l=1}^{m_l-1}\int_{I_{l+1}(x_k e_k+x_l e_l)}\left|\widetilde{R}^{*}a(x)\right|^{1/2}d\mu(x)\\ &\quad+\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\sum_{x_k=1}^{m_k-1}\int_{I_N(x_k e_k)}\left|\widetilde{R}^{*}a(x)\right|^{1/2}d\mu(x)\\ &\leq c\sum_{k=0}^{N-2}\sum_{l=k+1}^{N-1}\frac{1}{M_l}\frac{\sqrt{M_l M_k}}{N}+c\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\frac{1}{M_N}\sqrt{M_N M_k}\leq c<\infty.\end{aligned}$$

It completes the proof of first part of theorem 2.

b) Let $\{\lambda_k,\ k \in \mathbf{P}_+\}$ be an increasing sequence of the positive integers, which saisfies condition (5). For every λ_k there exists a positive integers $\{n_k,\ k \in \mathbf{P}_+\} \subset \{\lambda_k,\ k \in \mathbf{P}_+\}$, such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{n_k}{\varphi(M_{2n_k+1})} = \infty.$$

Let

$$f_{n_k}(x) = D_{M_{2n_k+1}}(x) - D_{M_{2n_k}}(x).$$

It is evident

$$\widehat{f}_{n_k}(i)=\begin{cases} 1, \text{ if } i=M_{2n_k},...,M_{2n_k+1}-1,\\ 0, \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

We can write

$$S_i f_{n_k}(x)=\begin{cases} D_i(x)-D_{M_{2n_k}}(x), & \text{if } i=M_{2n_k},...,M_{2n_k+1}-1,\\ f_{n_k}(x), & \text{if } i\geq M_{2n_k+1},\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{16}$$

From (3) we get (see also [17] and [18])

$$\|f_{n_k}(x)\|_{H_p}=\left\|f^*_{n_k}(x)\right\|_p\leq cM^{1-1/p}_{2n_k}. \tag{17}$$

Let $q^s_{n_k}=M_{2n_k}+M_{2s}$, $s=0,...,n_k-1$. By (16) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\left|R_{q^s_{n_k}}f_{n_k}(x)\right|}{\varphi\left(q^s_{n_k}\right)} &= \frac{1}{\varphi\left(q^s_{n_k}\right)l_{q^s_{n_k}}}\left|\sum_{j=M_{2n_k}+1}^{q^s_{n_k}}\frac{S_jf_{n_k}(x)}{j}\right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi\left(q^s_{n_k}\right)l_{q^s_{n_k}}}\left|\sum_{j=M_{2n_k}+1}^{q^s_{n_k}}\frac{\left(D_j(x)-D_{M_{2n_k}}(x)\right)}{j}\right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi\left(q^s_{n_k}\right)l_{q^s_{n_k}}}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{M_{2s}}\frac{\left(D_{j+M_{2n_k}}(x)-D_{M_{2n_k}}(x)\right)}{j+M_{2n_k}}\right|.\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Since

$$D_{j+M_{2n_k}}(x)-D_{M_{2n_k}}(x)=\psi_{M_{2n_k}}D_j(x), \quad j=1,2,..,M_{2n_k}-1. \tag{19}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\left|R_{q^s_{n_k}}f_{n_k}(x)\right|}{\varphi\left(q^s_{n_k}\right)}=\frac{1}{\varphi\left(q^s_{n_k}\right)l_{q^s_{n_k}}}\sum_{j=1}^{M_{2s}}\frac{|Dj(x)|}{j+M_{2n_k}}. \tag{20}$$

Let $x\in I_{2s}\backslash I_{2s+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\left|R_{q^s_{n_k}}f_{n_k}(x)\right|}{\varphi\left(q^s_{n_k}\right)} &\geq \frac{1}{\varphi\left(q^s_{n_k}\right)l_{q^s_{n_k}}}\sum_{j=0}^{M_{2s}}\frac{j}{j+M_{2n_k}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\varphi\left(q^s_{n_k}\right)l_{q^s_{n_k}}}\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{M_{2s}}j}{2M_{2n_k}}\geq\frac{cM^2_{2s}}{\varphi\left(q^s_{n_k}\right)l_{q^s_{n_k}}M_{2n_k}}.\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Using (21) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{G_m}\left|\widetilde{R}^{*}f\left(x\right)\right|^{1/2}d\mu\left(x\right)\\
&\geq \sum_{s=1}^{n_k-1}\int_{I_{2s}\setminus I_{2s+1}}\left|\frac{R_{q_{n_k}^s}f\left(x\right)}{\varphi\left(q_{n_k}^s\right)}\right|^{1/2}d\mu\left(x\right)\geq c\sum_{s=1}^{n_k-1}\frac{M_{2s}}{\sqrt{\varphi\left(q_{n_k}^s\right)l_{q_{n_k}^s}M_{2n_k}}}\frac{1}{M_{2s}}\\
&\geq c\sum_{s=1}^{n_k-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varphi\left(M_{2n_k+1}\right)l_{M_{2n_k+1}}M_{2n_k}}}\geq\frac{cn_k}{\sqrt{\varphi\left(M_{2n_k+1}\right)l_{M_{2n_k+1}}M_{2n_k}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (17) we have

$$\frac{\left(\int_{G_m}\left|\widetilde{R}^{*}f\left(x\right)\right|^{1/2}d\mu\left(x\right)\right)^{2}}{\left\|f_{n_k}\left(x\right)\right\|_{H_{1/2}}}\geq\frac{cn_k}{\varphi\left(M_{2n_k+1}\right)}\to\infty,\ \text{when } k\to\infty. \tag{22}$$

Theorem 2 is proved.

Proof of theorem 3. Let $0<p<1/2$. By Lemma 1, the proof of theorem 3 will be complete, if we show that

$$\int_{\overline{I}}\left|\widetilde{R}_{p}^{*}a\right|^{p}d\mu\leq c_p<\infty,$$

for every p-atom a, where I denotes the support of the atom.

Let a be an arbitrary p-atom with support I and $\mu\left(I\right)=M_N^{-1}$. We may assume that $I=I_N$. It is easy to see that $R_n\left(a\right)=0$, when $n\leq M_N$. Therefore we suppose that $n>M_N$.

Since $\left\|a\right\|_{\infty}\leq cM_N^{1/p}$ using (12) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\log\left(n+1\right)}{\left(n+1\right)^{1/p-2}}\left|R_na\left(x\right)\right|\\
&\leq\frac{\log\left(n+1\right)M_N^{1/p}}{\left(n+1\right)^{1/p-2}l_n}\int_{I_N}\sum_{j=M_N+1}^{n-1}\frac{\left|K_j\left(x-t\right)\right|}{j+1}d\mu\left(t\right)\\
&+\frac{\log\left(n+1\right)M_N^{1/p}}{\left(n+1\right)^{1/p-2}l_n}\int_{I_N}\left|K_n\left(x-t\right)\right|d\mu\left(t\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Let $x\in I_N\left(x_ke_k+x_le_l\right),\ \ 1\leq x_k\leq m_k-1,\ 1\leq x_l\leq m_l-1,\ k=0,...,N-2,\ l=k+1,...,N-1$. From Lemmas 3 and 4 when $n>M_N$ we obtain

$$\frac{\log\left(n+1\right)}{\left(n+1\right)^{1/p-2}}\left|R_na\left(x\right)\right|\leq\ c_pM_lM_k. \tag{24}$$

Let $x\in I_N\left(x_ke_k\right),\ 1\leq x_k\leq m_k-1,\ \ k=0,...,N-1$. Applying Lemmas 3 and 4 we have

$$\frac{\log(n+1)}{(n+1)^{1/p-2}}\left|R_n a(x)\right| \leq cNM_NM_k. \tag{25}$$

Combining (2), (24) and (25) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\overline{I_N}} \left|\widetilde{R}_p^* a(x)\right|^p d\mu(x)\\
=\ &\sum_{k=0}^{N-2m_k-1}\sum_{x_k=1}\sum_{l=k+1}^{N-1}\sum_{x_l=1}^{m_l-1}\int_{I_N(x_ke_k+x_le_l)}\left|\widetilde{R}_p^* a(x)\right|^p d\mu(x)\\
&+\sum_{k=0}^{N-1m_k-1}\sum_{x_k=1}\int_{I_N(x_ke_k)}\left|\widetilde{R}_p^* a(x)\right|^p d\mu(x)\\
\leq\ &c_p\sum_{k=0}^{N-2}\sum_{l=k+1}^{N-1}\frac{1}{M_l}(M_lM_k)^p + c_p\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\frac{1}{M_N}(NM_NM_k)^p \leq c_p < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Which complete the proof of first part of Theorem 2.

Let $0 < p < 1/2$ and $\{\lambda_k,\ k \in \mathbf{P}_+\}$ be an increasing sequence of the positive integers, which satisfies condition (6). It is evident that for every λ_k there exists a positive integers $\{n_k,\ k \in \mathbf{P}_+\} \subset \{\lambda_k,\ k \in \mathbf{P}_+\}$, such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{(M_{2n_k}+1)^{1/p-2}}{\varphi(M_{2n_k}+1)\log(M_{2n_k}+1)} = \infty.$$

Combining (18-21) we have

$$\frac{\left|R_{M_{2n_k}+1}f_{n_k}(x)\right|}{\varphi(M_{2n_k}+1)} = \frac{\left|R_{q^0_{n_k}}f(x)\right|}{\varphi\left(q^0_{n_k}\right)} \geq \frac{c}{\varphi\left(M_{2n_k}+1\right)l_{M_{2n_k}+1}\left(M_{2n_k}+1\right)},$$

for $x \in I_0\backslash I_1 = G_m\backslash I_1$.

From (17) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\frac{c}{\varphi\left(M_{2n_k}+1\right)l_{M_{2n_k}+1}\left(M_{2n_k}+1\right)}\mu\left\{x\in G_m : \left|\widetilde{R}_p^* f_{n_k}(x)\right| \geq \frac{c}{\varphi\left(M_{2n_k}+1\right)l_{M_{2n_k}+1}\left(M_{2n_k}+1\right)}\right\}^{1/p}}{\|f_{n_k}(x)\|_{H_p}}\\
\geq\ &\frac{c(M_{2n_k}+1)^{1/p-2}}{\varphi(M_{2n_k}+1)\log(M_{2n_k}+1)} \to \infty, \text{ when } k\to\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Which complete the proof of theorem 3.

Acknowledgment: The author would like to thank the referee for helpful suggestions.

References

[1] G. N. AGAEV, N. Ya. VILENKIN, G. M. DZHAFARLY and A. I. RUBINSHTEIN, Multiplicative systems of functions and harmonic analysis on zero-dimensional groups, Baku, Ehim, 1981 (in Russian).

[2] I. BLAHOTA and G. GÁT, Norm summability of Nörlund logarithmic means on unbounded Vilenkin groups, Anal. Theory Appl., 24 (2008), no. 1, 1–17.

[3] I. BLAHOTA, G. GÁT and U. GOGINAVA, Maximal operators of Fejér means of Vilenkin-Fourier series. JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 7 (2006), 1-7.

[4] N. J. FUJII, A maximal inequality for H_1 functions on the generalized Walsh-Paley group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1979), lll-116.

[5] G. GÁT, Cesáro means of integrable functions with respect to unbounded Vilenkin systems, J. Approx. Theory 124 (2003), no. 1, 25-43.

[6] G. GÁT, Inverstigations of certain operators with respect to the Vilenkin systems, Acta Math. Hungar. N 1-2,61 (1993), 131-149.

[7] G. GÁT and K. NAGY, On the logarithmic summability of Fourier series, Georgian Math. J. 18 (2) (2011) 237-248.

[8] U. GOGINAVA, The maximal operator of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the d-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. East J. Approx. 12 (2006), no. 3, 295–302.

[9] U. GOGINAVA, Maximal operators of Fejér-Walsh means. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 74 (2008), no. 3-4, 615–624.

[10] U. GOGINAVA and K. NAGY, On the maximal operator of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fejér means, Czechoslovak Math. J., 61 (136) (2011), 673-686.

[11] U. GOGINAVA, Maximal operators of Logarithmic means of one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, Rendiconti del Circilo Matematico di Palermo Serie II, 82(2010), pp. 345-357.

[12] J. PAL and P. SIMON, On a generalization of the comncept of derivate, Acta Math. Hungar., 29 (1977), 155-164.

[13] F. SCHIPP, Certain rearranngements of series in the Walsh series, Mat. Zametki, 18 (1975), 193-201.

[14] P. SIMON, F. WEISZ, Weak inequalities for Cesáro and Reisz summability of Walsh-Fourier series, J. Approx. Theory 151 (2008) 1-19.

[15] P. SIMON, Inverstigations with respect to the Vilenkin system, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest Eotv., Sect. Math., 28 (1985) 87-101.

[16] G.TEPHNADZE, Fejér means of Vilenkin-Fourier series., Stud. sci. math. Hung., 49 (1), (2012) 79-90.

[17] G.TEPHNADZE, On the maximal operator of Vilenkin-Fejér means., Turk. J. Math, 37, (2013), 308-318.

[18] G.TEPHNADZE, On the maximal operators of Vilenkin-Fejér means on Hardy spaces, Mathematical Inequalities & Applications Volume 16, Number 2 (2013), 301–312.

[19] G.TEPHNADZE, The maximal operator of logarithmic means of one-dimensional Vilenkin-Fourier series, Acta. Math. Acad. Paed. Nyir, (AMAPN), 27 (2011), 245-256.

[20] G.TEPHNADZE, On the maximal operators of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fejér means, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica, 67, (1), 2013, 33-45.

[21] F. WEISZ, Martingale Hardy spaces and their application in Fourier analysis, Springer, Berlin-Heideiberg-New York, 1994.

[22] F. WEISZ, Cesáro summability of one- and two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, Anal. Math., 22 (1996), no. 3, 229–242.

[23] F. WEISZ,Q-summability of Fourier series, Acta Math. Hungar., 103 (2004), no. 1-2, 139–175.

[24] F. WEISZ, Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2002.

G. Tephnadze, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, Tbilisi State University, Chavchavadze str. 1, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia and Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics, Luleå University of Technology, SE-971 87, Luleå, Sweden

E-mail address: giorgitephnadze@gmail.com